

EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF FRACTURE ENERGY FOR FOAMED CONCRETE

ALSAD BIN NASRULLAH

A project report submitted in partial
Fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the
Master's Degree in Civil Engineering



Faculty of Civil Engineering and Built Environmental
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2021

EXPERIMENTAL STUDY OF FRACTURE ENERGY FOR FOAMED CONCRETE

ALSAD BIN NASRULLAH

A project report submitted in partial
Fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the
Master's Degree in Civil Engineering



Faculty of Civil Engineering and Built Environmental
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2021

I hereby declare that this thesis is my own work except for quotations
and summaries which have been duly acknowledged.

Student :

ALSAD BIN NASRULLAH

Date : 21 FEBRUARY 2021

Supervisor :

PROF IR. DR. ABDUL AZIZ BIN ABDUL
SAMAD

Co Supervisor :

PROF MADYA DR. NORIDAH BINTI
MOHAMAD

Co Supervisor :

DR. ZAINORIZUAN BIN MOHD JAINI

DEDICATION....

FOR MY DEAREST PEOPLE IN MY LIFE

NASRULLAH ABTAHI

SANDRA HAJI YASSIN

FEWIE NASRULLAH

YASMIN NASRULLAH

ABDUL AZIZ NASRULLAH

MOHAMMAD AIDEE NASRULLAH

SUZIEYANA NASRULLAH



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It gives me great pleasure in expressing my gratitude to all those people who have supported me and had their contributions in making this thesis possible. First and foremost, I must acknowledge and thank The Almighty Allah for blessing, protecting and guiding me throughout this period. I could never have accomplished this without the faith I have in the Almighty.

I express my profound sense of reverence to my supervisor and co supervisor, Prof Ir. Dr. Abdul Aziz Bin Abdul Samad, Dr. Noridah Binti Mohamad and Dr. Zainorizuan Bin Mohd Jaini for their constant guidance, support, motivation and untiring help during the research of my Master Degree. Their in-depth knowledge on finite element method, blast study, and foamed concrete has been extremely beneficial for me. Prof Ir. Dr. Abdul Aziz has given me enough freedom during my research and has always been nice to me. I will always remember his calm and happy nature. For sure, I am indebted to Prof Madya Dr. Noridah and Dr. Zainorizuan too. They have been helping me with my project and a source of wisdom and motivation. I am thankful to Allah for giving me opportunity to have them as my mentors. They are great lecturers.

I can't imagine my current position without the love and support from my family. I thank my great parents, Mr. Nasrullah Abtahi and Mrs. Sandra Haji Yassin, for striving hard to provide a good education for me and my siblings. I always fall short of words and felt impossible to describe their support in words. My elder sister Fewie Nasrullah, young sister Yasmin Nasrullah and brothers, Abdul Aziz Nasrullah, Mohammad Aidee Nasrullah, are great siblings of mine. I would like to thank them for guiding me throughout for all this while.

I am enormously grateful to my partner in my project, Prof Madya Ir. Ts. Dr. Shahiron Shahidan and Dr. Goh. She is a good partner and we work well together. I won't forget my beloved wife Norsyazrina Binti Budin for her endless support. Thanks for being a good wife of mine and support always. One person who always been ready to help me whenever I need it most at laboratory, right from my research in UTHM and even until now was En. Imran and En. Syukri. Thank you dear for all your support. Last but not least, my credits would go to all of my friends in UTHM. You guys are the best. Without the supports and encouragements from them above, none of this would have been possible.

Thank you.



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

ABSTRACT

Foamed concrete has recently gained attention as an alternative material to normal concrete in structural engineering. As a structural component, foamed concrete has many advantages given its characteristics such as high strength with low density, good serviceability, and lightweight. Therefore, many studies have been investigated for their strength and mechanical properties. However, literature has shown that there is a lack of research on fracture energy of foamed concrete, which is the main parameter that governs damage and cracks mechanisms of any structural components. Therefore, the present study aims to experimentally investigate the fracture energy of foamed concrete using beam specimens with U-notch and V-notch through a three-point bending test. Fifty-two (52) foamed concrete beam specimens with 100 mm x 100 mm x 800 mm in length with a density of 1600 kg/m³ were cast. The foamed concrete compressive strength of approximately 9 to 10 MPa was achieved at 28 days. Of the fifty-two numbers of beam specimens, two beams were cast as control specimens (with no notches) whilst the forty-eight number of beam specimens was provided with various variables including different notch shapes (U and V-notch), different notch sizes (notch depth to notch opening ratio), and different offset ratios. From the three-point bending test, the peak load, load-displacement profile, and crack patterns for all the beam specimens were highly influenced by the various variables provided. From observation, beams with V-notch with its single corner edge tip and at offset ratio at 0.0 gave higher peak loads and consistent crack patterns compared to beams with U-notch. Increasing the offset ratio reduces the peak load and changes the crack patterns when a combination of shear and bending stress influences the tensile stresses hence the crack direction of the beam. The experimental fracture energy G_F was determined from the classical Hillerborg's model. Three other theoretical models from Bazant, CEB, and JCIS were selected for comparison. For beams with U-notch, Bazant and CEB overestimated its fracture energy by +11.58% and +24.18% whilst JCIS underestimated its result by -30.18%. Similar trends was observed for beams with V-notches when Bazant and CEB both overestimated by +13.33% and 24.48% but was again underestimated by JCIS at -29.16%. However, by modifying the factors from Bazant, CEB, and JCIS equations, a modified theoretical model to measure fracture energy for foamed concrete was successfully developed. This was validated when the modified Bazant, CEB, and JCIS model achieved high accuracy levels at +0.64%, +0.63%, and +1.24%.

ABSTRAK

Konkrit berbuih baru-baru ini mendapat perhatian sebagai bahan alternatif daripada konkrit biasa dalam kejuruteraan struktur. Sebagai komponen struktur, konkrit berbuih mempunyai banyak kelebihan memandangkan ciri-cirinya seperti kekuatan tinggi dengan ketumpatan rendah, kemudahan servis yang baik, dan ringan. Oleh itu, banyak kajian telah dikaji untuk kekuatan dan sifat mekaniknya. Namun, literatur telah menunjukkan bahawa terdapat kekurangan penelitian mengenai energi patah dari konkrit berbuih, yang merupakan parameter utama yang mengatur mekanisme kerosakan dan keretakan pada setiap komponen struktur. Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji secara eksperimen tenaga patah konkrit berbuih menggunakan spesimen balok dengan takuk U dan takik V melalui ujian lenturan tiga titik. Lima puluh dua (52) spesimen balok konkrit berbuih dengan panjang 100 mm x 100 mm x 800 mm dengan ketumpatan 1600 kg / m³ dilemparkan. Kekuatan mampatan konkrit berbuih sekitar 9 hingga 10 MPa dicapai pada 28 hari. Dari lima puluh dua bilangan spesimen balok, dua rasuk dilemparkan sebagai spesimen kawalan (tanpa takuk) sementara empat puluh lapan bilangan spesimen rasuk dibekalkan dengan pelbagai pemboleh ubah termasuk bentuk takik yang berbeza (takuk U dan V), takik yang berbeza saiz (nisbah kedalaman takuk ke bukaan takuk), dan nisbah offset yang berbeza. Dari ujian lenturan tiga titik, beban puncak, profil perpindahan beban, dan corak retak untuk semua spesimen rasuk sangat dipengaruhi oleh pelbagai pemboleh ubah yang disediakan. Dari pemerhatian, rasuk dengan V-notch dengan hujung tepi sudut tunggal dan nisbah offset pada 0,0 memberikan beban puncak yang lebih tinggi dan corak retak yang konsisten berbanding dengan balok dengan U-notch. Meningkatkan nisbah offset mengurangkan beban puncak dan mengubah corak retak apabila gabungan tegangan ricih dan lenturan mempengaruhi tegangan tegangan maka arah retak balok. Tenaga fraktur eksperimen GF ditentukan dari model Hillerborg klasik. Tiga model teori lain dari Bazant, CEB, dan JCIS dipilih untuk perbandingan. Untuk rasuk dengan U-notch, Bazant dan CEB melebihi-lebihkan tenaga patahnya sebanyak + 11.58% dan + 24.18% sementara JCIS meremehkan hasilnya sebanyak -30.18%. Trend yang sama diperhatikan untuk balok dengan takik V ketika Bazant dan CEB keduanya melebihi-lebihkan sebanyak + 13.33% dan 24.48% tetapi sekali lagi dipandang rendah oleh JCIS pada -29.16%. Walau bagaimanapun, dengan mengubah faktor dari persamaan Bazant, CEB, dan JCIS, model teori yang diubahsuai untuk mengukur tenaga patah bagi konkrit berbuih berjaya dikembangkan. Ini disahkan apabila model Bazant, CEB, dan JCIS yang diubahsuai mencapai tahap ketepatan tinggi pada + 0,64%, + 0,63%, dan + 1,24%.

CONTENTS

TITLE	i
DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	vi
CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLE	xv
LIST OF FIGURE	xvii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Aims and Objectives of the study	5
1.4 Significance of Research	6
1.5 Scope of the study	7
1.6 Thesis Outline	8

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Fracture Energy	10
2.3 Foamed Concrete	14
2.3.1 Application of Foam Concrete	15
2.4 Notched Beam Method	16
2.4.1 Notch depth	17
2.4.2 Type of notch	18
2.5 Hillerborg's Model and the Determination of G_f on a Notched Beam	21
2.6 Quasi static loading	26
2.7 Material of experimental work	26
2.7.1 Water to Cement Ratio	27
2.8 Compressive Strength of Foamed Concrete	28
2.9 Factors Affecting the Compressive Strength of Foamed Concrete	29
2.9.1 Different Density of Foamed Concrete	29
2.9.2 Curing Day	30
2.10 Crack Growth	31
2.11 Summary	32



CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY 33

3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	Suitable Dimensions for Standard Test Specimens	34
3.3	Preparation of Foamed Concrete Beams	35
3.4	Experimental Variables	36
3.4.1	Notch Shapes (U and V-notch)	36
3.4.2	Notch Size	36
3.4.3	Offset Ratio	36
3.4.4	Beam Specimens	37
3.4.5	Specimens preparations	38
3.5	Preparation of Materials	40
3.5.1	Preparation of foamed concrete	40
3.5.2	Mix design of foam concrete	41
3.5.3	Mixing Procedure	41
3.5.4	Curing Process	42
3.6	Material Tests	43
3.6.1	Compressive strength test	43
3.6.2	Total number of cubes	44
3.6.3	Strength test at age 3, 7 and 28 days	45
3.7	Test procedure	45
3.7.1	Three-point bending test	45



3.7.2	Experimental Setup	47
3.7.3	Test procedure	48
3.8	Calculation of fracture energy	48
3.8.1	Comparison of Experimental and Theoretical Fracture Energy, G_F	49
3.8.2	Flowchart for Experimental Study of Fracture Energy for Foamed Concrete	50
3.9	Summary	51

CHAPTER 4 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

4.1	Introduction	52
4.2	Compressive strength of foamed concrete	53
4.3	Experimental work - Three-point bending test	56
4.3.1	Experimental Observation and Results	56
4.3.2	Experimental observation on force-displacement profiles for beams with U-notch	58
4.3.2.1	Group Beam A (U0-20, U0-40 and U0-60) with Offset Ratio = 0.0	59
4.3.2.2	Group Beam B (U2-20, U2-40 and U2-60) with Offset Ratio = 0.2	60
4.3.2.3	Group Beam C (U4-20, U4-40 and U4-60) with Offset Ratio = 0.4	61



4.3.2.4 Group Beam D (U6-20, U6-40 and U6-60) with Offset Ratio = 0.6	63
4.3.3 Experimental observation on force-displacement profiles for beams with V notch	64
4.3.3.1 Group Beam A (V0-20, V0-40 and V0-60) with Offset Ratio = 0.0	64
4.3.3.2 Group Beam B (V2-20, V2-40 and V2-60) with Offset Ratio = 0.2	66
4.3.3.3 Group Beam C (V4-20, V4-40 and V4-60) with Offset Ratio = 0.4	67
4.3.3.4 Group Beam D (V6-20, V6-40 and V6-60) with Offset Ratio = 0.6	68
4.3.4 Crack Propagation for Control Beam	69
4.3.5 Crack Propagation for Beams with U and V-notch	69
4.4 Discussion on the crack behaviour of foamed concrete beams with U and V-notches	80
4.5 Summary	81



CHAPTER 5 ANALYSES OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND PROPOSED MODIFIED THEORETICAL MODEL FOR FRACTURE ENERGY

5.1	Introduction	82
5.2	Influence of notch shapes (U-notch and V-notch), notch size ($d_{\text{notch}} : d_{\text{opening}}$), and offset ratio to peak load of beam specimens	83
5.3	Theoretical bending moment capacity for beams with U and V-notch	90
5.3.1	Beams with U-notch (U0-20)	90
5.3.2	Beams with V-notch (V0-20)	93
5.4	Influence of Notch Shapes, Notch Sizes and Offset Ratio's to Displacement	96
5.4.1	Theoretical calculation for the deflection of beams with notches	99
5.5	Experimental Fracture energy, G_F , of Foamed Concrete using Hillerborg's Model	102
5.6	Comparison between Fracture Energy, G_F , from Hillerborg Model (1985), Bazant (2002), CEB (1993) and JCIS (2003) for Foamed Concrete Beam Specimens with U and V-notch	107
5.7	Proposed Modified Theoretical Model for Fracture Energy (G_F) of Foamed Concrete	111



PT TAAUTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUN AMINAH

5.7.1	The Modified Theoretical Fracture Energy Model for Foamed Concrete	115
5.7.2	Validation of Proposed Modified Theoretical Model of Fracture Energy of Foamed Concrete	116
5.8	Summary	122
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		123
6.1	Conclusion	123

REFERENCES

APPENDIX



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF TABLES

3.1	Specimen dimension specified by RILEM	35
3.2	Offset ratio, notch depth, notch opening and number of beam specimens	37
3.3	Details of beam specimens - Offset ratio, notch depth and Designation of beam	39
3.4	Mixed design of foamed concrete	41
3.5	Total Number of Cube Specimens	44
4.1	Average Compressive Strength of Foamed Concrete at 3, 7 and 28 days	55
4.2	Summary of force-displacement profile for beam specimens with U-notch	57
4.3	Summary of force-displacement profile for beam specimens with V-notch	57
5.1	Influence of Notch Shape on Peak Load	86
5.2	Influence of Offset Ratio on Peak Load with different Notch Depth and Notch Opening	88
5.3	Influence of force-displacement profile and different percentage for beam specimens with U and V-notch	97
5.4	Area of Softening phase of stress-strain curve, U_o (Nm) for U-notch	103
5.5	Experimental Fracture Energy (G_F) of Foamed Concrete Beam Specimens with U-notch using Hillerborg's Model	104

5.6	Area of Softening phase of stress-strain curve, U_o (Nm) for V-notch	105
5.7	Experimental Fracture Energy (G_F) of Foamed Concrete Beam Specimens with V-notch using Hillerborg's Model	106
5.8	Comparison between Experimental to Theoretical Fracture Energy G_F for Beam Specimen with U-notch	108
5.9	Comparison between Experimental to Theoretical Fracture Energy G_F for Beam Specimen with V-notch	109
5.10	Comparison between Experimental to Modified Theoretical Fracture Energy G_F for Beam Specimen with U-notch.	118
5.11	Comparison between Experimental to Modified Theoretical Fracture Energy G_F for Beam Specimen with V-notch.	119



LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Geometrical interpretation of concrete fracture parameters	3
2.1	Fracture energy at the stress-strain curve	11
2.2	Stress distributions in a beam subjected to pure bending	17
2.3	The three different notch types used during the test and G_F -values for different types of notches	18
2.4	Normalized force-displacement curves with constant values of tensile strength for 4 notched beams under three-point bending	19
2.5	Calculated contours of non-local softening parameter above the notch for three-point bending of small (a), medium (b), large (c), and very large (d) concrete beam (constant values of tensile strength)	20
2.6	Relationship between the calculated normalized concrete, compared to the size effect law by (Z. Bažant and J. Planas, 1998) for constant values of tensile strength	20
2.7	Load-deflection curve for a three-point bend test	22
2.8	Graphical description and free body diagram of beam behaviour toward the end of the test	23
2.9	Effect of moist curing time on strength gain of concrete	30
2.10	Effect of curing temperature on strength gain relative to 28-day strength	31

3.1	Beam specification by RILEM	35
3.2	Notch shape (a) U-notch; (b) V-notch	36
3.3	A typical beam specimen dimension for the Three-Bending Test	38
3.4	Procedure; (a) Mixing and; (b) Pouring of foam concrete into cube moulds	42
3.5	Curing process under room temperature for 28 days.	42
3.6	Concrete Compression Machine Tester	43
3.7	Concrete Flexural Machine Testers	47
3.8	Schematic view of beam specimen	47
4.1	Cube samples for compressive strength	53
4.2	Compressive Strengths of Foamed Concrete (Density = 1600kg/m^3) for Control Beam and Beam Specimens with U and V notch	56
4.3	Force-displacement profile for Group Beam A with U-notch (Offset = 0)	60
4.4	Force-displacement profile for Group Beam B with U-notch (Offset = 0.2)	61
4.5	Force-displacement profiles for Group Beam C with U-notch (Offset = 0.4)	62
4.6	Force-displacement profiles for Group Beam D with U-notch (Offset = 0.6)	63
4.7	Force-displacement profile for Group Beam A with V-notch (Offset = 0)	65
4.8	Force-displacement profile for Group Beam B with V-notch (Offset = 0.2)	66



PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

4.9	Force-displacement profiles for Group Beam C with V-notch (Offset = 0.4)	67
4.10	Force-displacement profiles for Group Beam D with V-notch (Offset = 0.6)	68
4.11	Crack Pattern (vertical crack line) due to failure for Control Beam	69
4.12	Crack Pattern (vertical crack line) due to failure with Offset Ratio = 0.0 (a) U0-20 (b) U0-40 and (c) U0-60	72
4.13	Crack Pattern (vertical crack line) due to failure with Offset Ratio = 0.2 (a) U2-20 (b) U2-40 and (c) U2-60	73
4.14	Crack Pattern (vertical crack line) due to failure with Offset Ratio = 0.4 (a) U4-20 (b) U4-40 and (c) U4-60	74
4.15	Crack Pattern (vertical crack line) due to failure with Offset Ratio = 0.6 (a) U6-20 (b) U6-40 and (c) U6-60	75
4.16	Crack Pattern (vertical crack line) due to failure with Offset Ratio = 0.0 (a) V0-20 (b) V0-40 and (c) V0-60	76
4.17	Crack Pattern (vertical crack line) due to failure with Offset Ratio = 0.2 (a) V2-20 (b) V2-40 and (c) V2-60	77
4.18	Crack Pattern (vertical crack line) due to failure with Offset Ratio = 0.4 (a) V4-20 (b) V4-40 and (c) V4-60	78
4.19	Crack Pattern (vertical crack line) due to failure with Offset Ratio = 0.6 (a) V6-20 (b) V6-40 and (c) V6-60	79
5.1	Influence of Notch Shape (U-notch and V-notch), Notch Depth to Notch Opening Ratio and Offset Ratio to Peak Load	89
5.2	Stress distribution for beams with U-notch (a) Elastic (b) Plastic	91



5.3	Stress distribution for beams with V-notch (a) Elastic (b) Plastic	94
5.4	Cross-section of beam specimen with notch	100
5.5	Comparison between Bazant, CEB and JCIS Fracture Energy to Hillerborg's Fracture Energy for Foamed Concrete Beam specimens with U-notch	110
5.6	Comparison between Bazant, CEB and JCIS Fracture Energy to Hillerborg's Fracture Energy for Foamed Concrete Beam specimens with V-notch	111
5.7	Fracture Energy of beam specimen with U-notch (a) Offset Ratio = 0.0 (b) Offset Ratio = 0.2 (c) Offset Ratio = 0.4 (d) Offset Ratio = 0.6	113
5.8	Fracture Energy of beam specimen with V-notch (a) Offset Ratio = 0.0 (b) Offset Ratio = 0.2 (c) Offset Ratio = 0.4 (d) Offset Ratio = 0.6	114
5.9	Comparison between Modified Theoretical Fracture Energy to Hillerborg's Fracture Energy for Foamed Concrete Beam Specimens with U-notch	116
5.10	Comparison between Modified Theoretical Fracture Energy to Hillerborg's Fracture Energy for Foamed Concrete Beam Specimens with U-notch	116
5.11	Fracture Energy of beam specimen with U-notch (a) Offset Ratio = 0.0 (b) Offset Ratio = 0.2 (c) Offset Ratio = 0.4 (d) Offset Ratio = 0.6 from proposed modified theoretical model	120
5.12	Fracture Energy of beam specimen with V-notch (a) Offset Ratio = 0.0 (b) Offset Ratio = 0.2 (c) Offset Ratio = 0.4 (d) Offset Ratio = 0.6 from proposed modified theoretical model	121



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

LIST OF APPENDICES

A	Chemical, physical and mechanical properties of cement	136
B	Compressive strength of foamed concrete cubes	137
C	Summary of the crack angle at initiation and failure of U-notch and V-notch beams	138
D	Area under the curve for U-notch and V-notch	139
E	Fracture Energy for U-notch and V-notch using by Hillerborg model	142



PTTA UTHM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUNKU TUN AMINAH

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Foamed Concrete is a slurry that is created by injecting mortar or cement paste with synthetic aerated foam. In common with most modern implementations of autoclaved aerated concrete, foam concrete contains almost no coarse aggregate, so the term concrete is somewhat erroneous, though both are in the cementitious composites family. Foamed concrete differs from autoclaved aerated concrete primarily by their specific mode of manufacture, as the latter generates its foaming agent/synthetic aerating gas, for foam bubble formation, by employing an in-situ chemical reaction between aluminum powder and lime/calcium hydroxide to generate hydrogen gas. (Concrete Institute, 2013).

The most basic definition of foamed concrete is that it is 'mortar with air bubbles in it.' The air content of foamed concrete may be up to 75% air by volume. In general terms, foamed concrete can be described as a lightweight, free-flowing material which is ideal for a wide range of applications. It can have a range of dry densities, typically from 400 kg/m³ to 1600 kg/m³, and a range of compressive strengths, 1 MPa to 15 MPa (1). Foamed Concrete can be placed easily, by pumping if necessary, and does not require

compaction, vibrating, or leveling. It has excellent resistance to water and frost and provides a high level of both sound and thermal insulation. It is very versatile since it can be tailored for optimum performance and minimum cost by choice of a suitable mix design, such examples are pre-cast units. However, foamed concrete is nearly always made on-site and it is made using a mixed design specifically selected for each application or job. Although the material is called foamed concrete, it is not concrete at all. Foamed concrete is a foamed mortar, where the mortar is made from mixing cement, water, and sand with no coarse aggregates. Hence, foamed concrete is not the same as conventional concrete and does not have the same characteristics. It is known that foamed concrete is much lighter and does not have the same strength as conventional concrete. For this reason, foamed concrete and conventional concrete are generally used for different applications, although there are applications where either may be specified. It should also be noted that foamed concrete is not the same as autoclaved aerated concrete, which is a common building material that is frequently confused with foamed concrete. This is because foamed concrete is a much more versatile material than autoclaved aerated concrete.

The application of foamed concrete as a construction material has been studied over the last decade. As foamed concrete has a different mixed proportion of materials than conventional concrete, further studies on its characteristic material behaviour are vitally important. One of the important features that are lacking and needs extensive studies is the fracture mechanics of foamed concrete.

Concrete is the most commonly used material for construction throughout the world. The understanding of its mechanical behaviour, particularly the cracking and fracture behaviour has been developed and matured through the years. Although cracking represents a prominent feature of the behaviour of concrete structures, not only under ultimate loads but also at service states, fracture mechanics particularly on the non-linear strain-softening behavior and its fracture energy (G_F) has generally not been fully utilized in the traditional analysis of structures (see Fig. 1). However, with the introduction and further enhancement of the finite element analysis software, these computational tools have the capacity to include the necessary fracture mechanic

REFERENCES

- 1) Hillerborg A. 1985, "The theoretical basic of the method to determine the fracture energy G_f of concrete," *Materials and Structures*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 291-296.
- 2) Abd Rahman, N., Jaini, Z.M., Abd Rahim, N.A. and Abd Razak, S.A. 2015. "An experimental study on the fracture energy of foamed concrete using v-notched beams." *Proceeding of the International Civil and Infrastructure Engineering Conference*, Springer, Singapore. pp. 97-108.
- 3) Aldridge, T. S, 2005, "Structural Behavior of High-Performance Concrete". Bridge Decks, Masters Thesis, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, pp.182
- 4) Aldrige, D. 2000. Foamed concrete: properties, applications and potential. *Proceeding of One Day Awareness on Foamed Concrete*, Dundee, United Kingdom.
- 5) Antico, F., De la Varga, I. and Pour-Ghaz, M. 2011. "Experimental and numerical analysis of the failure of notched concrete beams." Report CE597-NLFM, Purdue, United States.
- 6) Arezoumandi, M., Ezzell, M. and Volz, S.J. 2014. "A comparative study of the mechanical properties, fracture behavior, creep, and shrinkage of chemically based selfconsolidating concrete." *Frontiers Structural and Civil Engineering*. 8(1), pp. 35-45.
- 7) ASTM E1820-13. 2014. "Standard test method for measurement of fracture toughness. ASTM International": West Conshohocken, United States.
- 8) Trunk B. and F.H. Wittmann. 1998, "Experimental investigation into size dependence of fracture mechanics parameters," in *Proc. Third Int. Conf. of Fracture Mechanics of Concrete Structures*, Gifu, Japan.
- 9) Bažant, Z. P., and Becq-Giraudon, E. 2002, "Statistical Prediction of Fracture Parameters of Concrete and Implications for Choice of Testing Standard," *Cement and Concrete Research*, V. 32, pp. 529-556.

- 10) Bažant, Z. P., and Pfeiffer, P. A. 1987, "Determination of Fracture Energy from Size Effect and Brittleness Number," *ACI Materials Journal*, V. 84, No. 6, pp. 463-480.
- 11) Bazant, Z.P. 2002. Concrete fracture models testing and practice. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*. 69, pp. 165-205.
- 12) Bazant, Z.P. and Oh, B.H. 1983. Crack band theory for fracture of concrete. *Materials and Structures*. 16, pp. 155-177.
- 13) Bing, C., Zhen, W. and Ning, L. 2012. Experimental research on properties of high-strength foamed concrete. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*. 24(1), pp. 113-118.
- 14) British Cement Association. 1994. Foamed concrete: composition and properties. Report 46.042, Slough, United Kingdom.
- 15) Byun, K.J., Song, H.W. and Park, S.S. 1998. Development of structural lightweight foamed concrete using polymer foam agent. *Proceeding of the 9th International Congress on Polymers in Concrete*, Bologna, Italy.
- 16) Puttappa C.G. 2008, "Mechanical Properties Of Foamed Concrete", M S R Institute Of Technology, Bangalore-560054, India.
- 17) Cbristos C. Chamis. 2000, "Analysis of the three points bend test for materials with unequal tension and compression properties." *NASA TN D-7572. Cement and Concrete composites*, 22, pp 321-329.
- 18) Cifuentes, H., Alcalde, M. and Medina, F. 2013. Measuring the size-independent fracture energy of concrete. *International Journal for Experimental Mechanics*. 49, pp. 54-59.
- 19) Comité Euro-International du Béton. 1990, "CEB-FIP Model Code 1990," Thomas Telford, 437 pp, London, UK.
- 20) Comite Euro-International du Beton. 1993, CEB-FIB Model Code 1990, Lausanne, Switzerland: Thomas Telford Service Ltd.
- 21) Comite Euro-International du Beton. 1993. CEB-FIB Model Code 1990. Thomas Telford: Lausanne, Switzerland.
- 22) Darwin, D., Barham, S., Kozul, R. and Luan, S. 2001. Fracture energy of high-strength concrete. *ACI Material Journal*. 98(5), pp. 410-418.
- 23) Erdogan E. 2000, "Fracture Mechanics, *International Journal of Solids and Structures*", 37, pp. 171–183.



- 24) Ikponmwosa E, Christopher Fapohunda, Olamiposi Kolajo, Otu Eyo. 2015, "Structural behaviour of bamboo-reinforced foamed concrete slab containing polyvinyl wastes (PW) as partial replacement of fine aggregate". Journal of King Saud University - Engineering Sciences.
- 25) Elices, M. and Rocco, C.G. 2008. Effect of aggregate size on the fracture and mechanical properties of simple concrete. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*. 75(13), pp.3839-3851.
- 26) Eurocode 2. 2004. Design of concrete Structures-Part 1-1: General rules and rules for building. British Standards: London, United Kingdom.
- 27) Francis I. Baratta and John H. Underwood. 1992" Notch dimension for three-point bend fracture specimens." *Journal of Testing and Evaluation*, Vol. 20, no. 5, pp 343-348.
- 28) Gospodinov, G. and Kerelezova, I. 1999. Numerical modelling and analysis of plain concrete notched beams by means of fracture mechanics. *Annuaire de L'universite D'architecture, de Genie Civil et de Geodesie*. 40(2), pp. 1-13.
- 29) Cifuentes. H, M. Alcalde and F. Medina. 2012, "Measuring the size-independent fracture energy of concrete," *Int. Journal of Experiment Mechanics*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 54-59.
- 30) Hadipramana, A. A. A. Samad, Z. J. Zhao, N. Mohammad, W. Wirdawati. 2012, "Influence of Polypropylene Fiber in Strength of Foamed Concrete", *Advanced Materials Research*, Vols. 488-489, pp. 253-257.
- 31) Hamidah MS, Ibrahim A, Alex liew and Suffian walini. 2003, *Foamed Concrete Applications in Housing industry*, Research paper, Universiti Teknologi Mara, Malaysia, pp1 – 22.
- 32) Han-Seung Lee, Mohamed A. Ismail, Young-Je Woo, Tae-Beom Min and Hyun-Kook Choi. 2014, "Fundamental Study on the Development of Structural Lightweight Concrete by Using Normal Coarse Aggregate and Foaming Agent", 4536-4554.
- 33) Hanson, J.H. and Ingraffea, A.R. 2003. Using numerical simulations to compare the fracture toughness values for concrete from the size-effect, two-parameter and fictitious crack model. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 70, pp. 1015-1027.



PT TAAU THM
PERPUSTAKAAN TUN AMINAH

- 34) Hilal, A.A., Thom, N.H. and Dawson, A.R. 2015. The use of additives to enhance properties of pre-formed foamed concrete. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*. 7(4), pp.286-293.
- 35) Hillerborg, A. 1985, "The theoretical basis of a method to determine the fracture energy GF of concrete", *Materials and Structures*, Vol. 18, pp. 291-296.
- 36) Hillerborg, A. 1985. The theoretical basis of a method to determine the fracture energy of concrete. *Materials and Structure*. 18(4), pp.291-296.
- 37) Hu, X. and Wittmann, F. 2000. Size effect on toughness induced by crack close to free surface. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*. 65, pp. 209-221.
- 38) Ishiguro, S. 2007. Experimental and analysis of fracture properties of grouting mortars. *Proceeding of Fracture Mechanics of Concrete and Concrete Structures*. Taylor and Francis, Catania. pp. 293-298.
- 39) Martin J, J. Stanton, N. Mitra, and L. N. Lowes. 2007, "Experimental testing to determine concrete fracture ebergry using simple laboratory test setup," *ACI Materials Journal*, vol. 104, no. 6, pp.575-584.
- 40) Van Mier J.G.M. 1997, "Fracture Processes of Concrete", Florida, United States: CRP Press.
- 41) Jaini, Z.M., Mokhatar, S.N., Feng, Y.T. and Seman, M.A. 2015. 2D multi-scale simulation and homogenization of foamed concrete containing rubber bars. *Proceeding of the International Civil and Infrastructure Engineering Conference*, Springer, Singapore. pp. 625-638.
- 42) Jirasek M., S. Rolshoven and P. Grassl. 2004, "Siza effect on fracture energy induced bu non-locality," *Int. Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*, vol. 28, pp. 653-670.
- 43) Jirasek, M., Rolshoven, S. and Grassl, P. 2004. Size effect on fracture energy induced by non-localit. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*. 28, pp. 653-670.
- 44) Jones, M.R. and McCarthy, A. 2005. Preliminary views on the potential of foamed concrete as a structural material. *Magazine of Concrete Research*. 57(1), pp. 21-31.
- 45) Jueshi, Q. & Hui, L.. 1997 , "Size effect on fracture energy of concrete determined by three-point bending", *Cement and Concrete Research*: 27(7): 1031-1036.



- 46) Just, A. and Middenorf, B. 2009. Microstructure of high strength foamed concrete. *Material Characterization*. 60(7), pp. 741-748.
- 47) Kosmatka, S. H. and Wilson, M. L. 2011, "Design and Control of Concrete Mixtures, 15th edition", EB001.15.
- 48) Lee, J. and Lopez, M. M. 2014. An experimental study on fracture energy of plain concrete. *International Journal of Concrete Structures and Materials*. 8(2), pp. 129-139.
- 49) Li, Y.N., Hong, A.P. and Bazant, Z.P. 1995. Initiation of parallel cracks from surface of elastic half-plane, *International Journal of Fracture*. 69, pp. 357-369.
- 50) Liu, X. and Jiang, Y. 2012. Preparation of foamed concrete with high-strength and low-shrinkage. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*. 174-177, pp. 1299-1305.
- 51) Malvar J. and G. Warren. 1988, "Fracture energy for three points bend test on single edge notched beams." NCEL Technical Report AD-A196 134.
- 52) Malvar, J. and Warren, G. 1988. Fracture energy of three-point bending tests on single-edge notched beams. *Experimental Mechanics*. 28(3), pp.266-272.
- 53) Martin, J., Stanton, J., Mitra, N. and Lowes, L.N. 2007. Experimental testing to determine concrete fracture energy using simple laboratory test setup. *ACI Materials Journal*. 104(6), pp.575-584.
- 54) Midrand. 2013, "Foamed concrete" The concrete institute.
- 55) Mohamad, N. Hassan. 2013, "The Structural Performance of Precast Lightweight Foam Concrete Sandwich Panel with Single and Double Shear Truss Connectors Subjected to Axial Load", *Advanced Materials Research*, Vols. 634-638, pp. 2746-2751.
- 56) Muralidhara, S., Prasad, B.K.R., Karihaloo, B.L. and Singh, R.K. 2011 Size independent fracture energy in plain concrete beams using tri-linear model. *Journal of Construction and Buildings Material*, 25, pp.3051-3058.
- 57) Narayanan N and Ramamurthy K. 2000, "Structure and Properties of Aerated Concrete". A Review, Kearsley, EP. The effect of fibres on the behaviour of concrete floor slabs. Reasearch report for Alpha Stone and Ready Mix.
- 58) Noridah Mohamad, A. I. Khalil, A. A. Abdul Samad, and W. I. Goh. 2014, "Structural Behavior of Precast Lightweight Foam Concrete Sandwich Panel with Double Shear Truss Connectors under Flexural Load". *ISRN Civil Engineering*. Article ID 317941.



- 59) NT Build 491. 1999. Concrete and mortar, hardened: fracture energy mode 1-three-point bending tests on notched beams. Nordtest: Espoo, Finland.
- 60) Jang, Oh, B.H., S.Y. and Byun H.K. 1999. Prediction of fracture energy of concrete. KCI Concrete Journal. 11(3), pp. 211-221.
- 61) Per-Eri K Petersson. 1982, "Crack growth and development of fracture zones in plain concrete and similar materials". Report TVBM-1006, Division of Building Materials, Lund Institute of Technology, Lund, Sweden.
- 62) Petersson Per-Eri K. 1982, "Comments On The Method Of Determining The Fracture Energy Of Concrete By Means Of Three~Point Bend Tests On Notched Beams", Division Of Building Materials, Lund Institute Of Technology, Lund, Sweden.
- 63) Prasad, B.K.R. and Sagar, R.V. 2008. Relationship between AE energy and fracture energy of plain concrete beams: experimental study. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering. 20, pp. 212-220.
- 64) Rahman, M.H.A. and Jaini, Z.M. 2013. The combined finite-discrete element analysis of precast lightweight foamed-concrete sandwich panel (PLFP) under axial load. Proceeding of the International Conference on Advances in Structural, Civil and Environmental Engineering, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- 65) Rahman, M.H.A. and Z.M. Jaini. 2013, "The combined finite-discrete element anaylsis of precast lightweight foamed concrete sandwich panel (PLFP) under axial load," in Proc. Of the Int. Conf. On Advances in Structural, Civil and Environmental Engineering, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- 66) Ramamurthy, K. and Nambiar, E.K.K. 2009. A classification of studies on properties of foam concrete. Cement and Concrete Composites. 31(6), pp. 388-396.
- 67) Rammurthy, K., Kunhanndan Nambiar, E.K. and Indu Siva Ranjani, G. 2009. A classification of studies on properties of foam concrete. Journal of Cement and Concrete, 31(6), pp. 388-396.
- 68) Ravindra, K.D., Moray, D.N. and McCarthy, A. 2005. Use of foamed concrete in construction. Thomas Telford: London, United Kingdom.
- 69) RILEM TC 89-FMT Fracture Mechanics of Concrete-Test Methods. 1990, "Determination of fracture parameters (K_{Ic} and $CTOD_c$) of plain concrete using three-point bending test," Materials and Structures, vol. 23, pp. 457-460.



- 70) RILEM TC 89-FMT Fracture Mechanics of Concrete-Test Methods. 1990, "Size effect method for determining fracture energy and process zone size of concrete," *Materials and Structures*, vol. 23, pp. 457-460,.
- 71) RILEM TCM-85. 1985, Determination of the fracture energy of mortar and concrete by means of three-point bend tests on notched beams. *Mater. Struct.* 18, 287–290.
- 72) RILEM Technical Committee TDF-162. 2000, "Test and design methods for steel fiber reinforced concrete. Recommendations for bending test" (Chairlady L. Vandewalle), *Mater. Struct.* 33.
- 73) RILEM Technical Committee. 1975, "CPC 4 Compression test on concrete, 1975" (E & FN SPON).
- 74) RILEM. 1990. Fracture mechanics of concrete-test methods, determination of fracture parameters of plain concrete using three-point bend tests. *Materials and Structures*, 23, pp. 457-460.
- 75) Muralidhara S., B.K.R. Prased, B.L. Karihaloo and R.K. Singh. 2011, "Size independent fracture energy in plain concrete beams using tri-linear model," *Journal of Construction and Buildings Materials*, vol. 25, no. 7, pp. 3051-3058.
- 76) Wang S. and V.C. Li. 2003, "Lightweight engineered cementitious composites (ECC)," in *Proc. 4th Int. RILEM Workshop on High Performance Fiber-Reinforced Cement Composites*, Ann Arbor, Michigan, United States.
- 77) Yang S.T., X.Z. Hu and Z.M. Wu. 2011, "Influence of local fracture energy distribution on maximum fracture load of three-point bending notched concrete beams," *Journal of Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, vol. 78, no. 18, pp. 3289-3299.
- 78) Shah, S.P, Swartz, S.E. and Ouyang, C. 1995. *Fracture mechanics of concrete: applications of fracture mechanics to concrete, rock and other quasi-brittle materials*. Wiley: New York, United States.
- 79) Sim, J.I., Yang, K.H., Lee, E.T. and Yi, S.T. 2014. Effects of aggregate and specimen size on lightweight concrete fracture energy. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*. 26, pp. 845-854.
- 80) Chen, Su, R. K. L., H. H. N., and Kwan, A. K. H. 2012, "Incremental displacement collection method for the evaluation of tension softening curve of mortar." *Eng. Fract. Mech.*, 88, 49–62.

